We have received the following questions and issue the following clarifications:

QUESTION:
"... we noticed in the CM RFQ an item specifically for Architects and Engineers which may have been inserted accidentally into the CM’s RFQ Package. As a CM, we would truly have no valid information to provide on the SF 330 Form as these forms are specifically used for Architects and Engineers and all the requested information in the SF 330 pertains to them specifically. The CM Experience Questionnaire which we are completing, will provide the same information but be CM specific. Also, in filling out the CM Experience Questionnaire we are concerned with the page count being only 40-pages. In order to list Related and Current projects as requested, will be duplicating the Current and Completed Project pages many times. One suggestion would be to not include this section in the page count minimum?"

CLARIFICATION:
The Experience Questionnaire is utilized to provide specific corporate information not contained in the Standard Form 330 (SF 330). Proposers are not requested to duplicate information in both places. The Experience Questionnaire may be re-formatted by the proposer in order to minimize pages provided the language is not modified.

Section A. – General Information should be answered in its entirety.
Section B. – Related Experience
   Provide the project information requested for only the Proposer’s three largest current project contracts.
Section C. – Previously deleted.
Section D. – Office Staff, On Site Staff, & MEP Team
   This information is to be provided in the Standard Form 330.
Section E. – Presence in the Community should be answered as the Proposer sees fit.

The Standard Form 330 is utilized for Architectural and Engineering qualification selection; however, it is often used for other Professional Services qualification selections also.
Part IA and B: Provide project and corporate contact information.
Part IC: List specific Construction Manager Corporate information only. If the Proposer is utilizing an outside consultant (e.g., scheduler, estimating service, etc.) to perform any portion of the Construction Manager’s typical scope of services such firms should be identified in the section.
Part ID: Provide a separate Organizational Chart
Part IE: This form should be duplicated in order to provide resumes for each Key Personnel member of the proposer’s team.
Part IF: This form should be duplicated in order to provide specific project information for the up to ten (10) recent and relevant completed projects the Proposer elects to submit in support of their qualifications. Proposer should note how the example project is relevant to the District’s New Campus Construction project.
Part IG: This Key Personnel Participation Matrix should be completed
Part IH: Additional Information may be utilized or deleted as the Proposer elects.

Part II-General Qualifications:
Provide information for Construction Manager firm on items 2 through 9. Also provide a separate page for all outside consultant firms noted in Part IC.

For purposes of page count, cover pages, divider tabs, etc. used for ordering the proposal and for the evaluator’s convenience shall not be counted. We believe a forty (40) page limit is reasonable, however we do not intend to strictly enforce such a limit. Proposers are cautioned that the Manatee County Mosquito Control District is looking for qualification information that is specific and relevant to our New Campus Construction. Please refrain from including general or boilerplate information.